

**REMARKS**

The subject application has been finally rejected, and this Amendment is submitted along with Applicant's Request for Continued Examination. In light of the amendments submitted herein, and for the reasons more fully set forth below, it is respectfully submitted that this invention as defined in new claims 12 through 21, patentably defines over all of the references of record and is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration is requested.

The prior claims 1, 2 and 4-11, inclusive, have all been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over the combination of the patents to Monroig, Jr., in view of Pihlgren and Goss, et al., Whiting, and Abbott. The undersigned attorney for Applicant has carefully reviewed each of the applied references, as well as all references of record, and believes that new independent claims 12 and 21 patentably define Applicant's invention over all references of record.

In new independent claim 12, the Examiner's attention is invited to the recitation of "at least two support guides attached to said beam and each one of said guides extending generally parallel thereto and each of said guides comprising a roller." Corresponding structure is neither disclosed nor suggested by the combination of Monroig, Jr., and Pihlgren. As admitted by the Examiner, the "support guides" 90 of Monroig, Jr., are not attached to the frame. To modify Monroig, Jr., with the "support guides" 49 of Pihlgren, as suggested by the Examiner, simply would not result in the structure recited in new independent claim 12.

New independent claim 21 further defines the structure of Applicant's invention with regard to the support guides in a fashion neither disclosed nor suggested by the proposed combination of Monroig, Jr., and Pihlgren. It is respectfully submitted that new independent claims 12 and 21 are now in condition for allowance.

Inasmuch as new dependent claims 13-20 depend from new independent claim 12, it is further respectfully submitted that these dependent claims are also in condition for allowance.

If the further prosecution of this application would be enhanced thereby, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney of record at his convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D.W. Pettis, Jr.", is written over a horizontal line.

David W. Pettis, Jr., Esq. (Reg. No. 26,787)  
DAVID W. PETTIS, JR., P.A.  
501 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 700  
Tampa, Florida 33602-5200  
Telephone (813) 226-0440  
Facsimile (813) 229-8073

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original foregoing Request For Continued Examination, Extension and Submission of Fee, and Response, together with our check in the amount of \$595.00 and a stamped, self-addressed postal card were deposited with the United States Postal Service as U. S. First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP RCE, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 22d day of MARCH, 2004.



David W. Pettis, Jr.